

Appendix G

A Summary View of Scripture Interpretation

Western

Aristotle (384-322 BC), systematized Western philosophy, starting a discipline called **Logic**, first being a study of **Forms** which arguments (reasoning) may take to be valid or fallacies. This gives rise to the age-old saying something must be "logical." This Form or syllogism became known as **Deductive thinking or Deduction**. It is a Form to teach valid or fallacious thinking forms but has no relevance to whether the thinking brings one to Truth of any matter.

Francis Bacon (1561-1626 AD), introduced **Western Scientific Method**, rejecting Aristotle's Deductive Forms, as not a means of finding the Truth of any subject. This introduced the Scientific Revolution of inventions, and was called **Inductive thinking or Induction**. This method is based upon exclusions by considering similarity of applications and an absolute Rule of Non-contradiction.

Contradiction = Unintelligible = By definition: not factual, not reality, not applicable. We intuitively affirm there is no contradiction in reality or Truth.

Non-contradiction is RELATING TO Absolute Truth. This Inductive Method has been in modern times considered the rational rule of Western thinking, but confusedly also called "logical" thinking, was its predecessor, Deductive thinking. The Law of non-contradiction is accepted as dogma: either/or Logic, true or false, right or wrong, good or evil, black or white, inside or outside, and so forth, in all modern Scientific thinking toward finding Truth of something.

Truth by definition is exclusive = Reality = non-contradictory. Reality (truth, the way things really are) is knowable, definable, and exclusive. Of course, only fully known by God Himself.

A "fact" in reality is the way things really are. All true "facts" must be non-contradictory. If there is a contradiction, we don't have the facts right. We intuitively know that a contradiction of any facts means we don't have the truth. This is called "inductive" thinking, and leads to learning more and more to come to absolute Truth.

[[[Find all contexts of all scripture with the single word in question, infer the one single meaning of that word that makes sense in all its scripture contexts, then read the starting scripture in question (conclusion).]]]

God, from the beginning (Garden), provided instinctual means to natural man to learn and think and comprehend Him, as:

(1) **scriptural safeguards,**

(2) **instinctual gifts to every natural child, as,**

A. right-of-choice,

B. a sense of "ought" of right from wrong,

C. a child's instinctive inductive thinking for non-contradictory absolute

Truth in all things.

There has been this WESTERN HEMISPHERE type thinking on this earth since creation. This is the way our Creator made us to think.

See **Appendix E for clear details and history of thinking.**

Eastern

Dialectic thinking, a both/and . Whenever have two opposed ideas ,accept both or something else, as a both/and. The Yin /Yang thinking. Not yea or nay, but yea and nay. Truth is to be discovered, it is unknowable by human mind, not to be understood.

Reality (truth, the way things really are) is unknowable and indefinable. The person can only try to conform himself to oneness of mind with "unknowable" by accepting the teaching (assertions) of ascended masters. This is called "deductive thinking." This is the thinking of 'the satan' and his method with us, to get us to accept an asserted idea of any kind.

Ecumenicalism today and New Age thinking in our recent culture have accepted this Dialectic thinking, both/and. An acceptance that Truth is unknowable and anyone's ideas or neither right or wrong. Differences in behavior or doctrines are unimportant. No longer any need to conform to Law of Non-contradiction (Consistent Comparisons) or any laws of human logic.

This is the modern Ecumenical teaching and that of the Emerging church, so-called, which avoid all conflicts concerning Truth or Biblical doctrine and focus on human love and acceptance of anyone's ideas or whatever means of "experiencing" what they call *god*. This experiencing what they call god is their only criteria of being one with the universal god in all men and the one universality of god among all men, they say. Truth in all things is no longer their concern, only the experiencing the universal godhood in all mankind bringing the kingdom of their god to fill all the earth in brotherhood of all men.

Our God Given Means to KNOW the Things of God

It is of SPECIAL NOTICE!! Whenever this ancient pagan "deductive thinking" occurs with the Bible, there must necessarily be a special redefinition of words and synonyms used to support to listeners of the "logicalness" of the interpretation. Otherwise, the pure Word becomes obviously illogical to others, because a lie has been asserted and needs the pure Words to be redefined to support the lie.

So any redefinitions necessarily giving many synonyms that are used throughout the entire Word is a sign. It is the sign of the devil's tail sticking above the tall grass of

his false doctrines he loves. So look out for such continual redefinitions and multiple definitions for the same word and you will know where he is.

All men are created with an intuitive knowledge of the rightness in thinking toward Truth. He cannot understand anything unless it is "logical" to him, he says. By this he means "non-contradictory." Otherwise he is simply accepting someone's assertion. Unless someone accepts an assertion and reasons upon that accepted assertion, he will be looking for a conclusion to his thinking by intuitively employing "logical" means which eliminates "contradiction," making redefinitions unnecessary. Therefore, multiple definitions for one word, or synonyms for different words throughout the scriptures and for almost every word, are the tall "tail" sign of the devil in the high grass of their doctrines. Look for it !! It is satan's and demon's thinking using the deductive method.

Why do you think we have dictionaries and Biblical Lexicons of Biblical scholars with multiples of definitions for one word? We are looking into a book of the devil's kingdom. He and all humans of his kingdom do deductive thinking. What can we expect? The greatest religious scholars and teachers, as in Jesus day, haven't changed. They are all deductive thinkers, having been taught this as an absolute necessity in their Seminaries and Bible Schools around the world.

Since we are dealing with the pure (no mixture) single words in the Word of Truth in God's Kingdom, there can be no synonyms or contradictions or inconsistencies between any words, or doctrines. Redefinitions of doctrinal words like faith, believe, repent, salvation, Christian, flesh, etc., are an obvious give-away to the false doctrines being taught by deductive thinkers.

Scriptures

Scriptures --we can find the truth interpretation of a scripture by :

1. Find all the scripture single words within the scripture considered (OT or NT), harmonize them to eliminate contradictions, then infer the one correct meaning of each. Then read the sentence with understanding.

This can only be done in the original language in which the scriptures were originally given to mankind by the Holy Spirit of our Creator, and Providentially Preserved. One does NOT, however, need to be able to read Hebrew for OT or Greek for NT. There is a very quick and precise way to do this, by a method called ***inductive thinking***, NOT taught in Bible Schools or Seminaries, which teach only deductive thinking when dealing with concepts. This is precisely the satan's method of thinking, fully explained.

One simply reads in Doffun.com, ***Hermeneutics***, which thoroughly explains all aspects of this method; and the ***New Testament Greek*** Section, on precise details. Or get a shorter concise explanation in this eBook, Our Creator God, Appendix E, Let Us Reason.

2. The interpretation cannot contradict other scripture interpretations (or doctrines) or we have accepted an assertion (lie) somewhere.

Figures of Speech

Figures of speech, forms of symbolic expressions. There are over 200 different figures of speech with specific names. [E.W. Bullinger, *Figures of Speech Used in the Bible*, Frye and Spottiswoode, London, 1898.]

Metaphors, implicit comparisons; ie, "evening of life," or a "swim in the dark," etc.

Simile, explicit comparisons; ie, die like a dog, sweat like a fool.

Allegories, a figure of speech employing a word or story for symbolic meaning. [Origen (185-254 AD), in Alexandria Egypt popularized interpretation of any scriptures as just allegorical. This means that any interpretation may be as good as another. What do you think it symbolizes? No literal meaning is considered.]

Idioms, are a special category, that are validly used in doctrinal teachings. These require a rather full explanation.

Idioms

Idioms are a figure of speech in the Bible. But, different and unlike other figures of speech, and certainly are valid meanings to be considered in doctrinal teachings. They are used as specific and pure definite specific intended consistent meanings, and included in all acceptable teaching of the Bible in a specific consistent manner.

Definition: **Idiom** = an artistic speech expression characteristic of a period and school of thought of a specific group of people; a jargon, a specialized or technical language of a trade or profession, or life style as: legal jargon, Christian jargon, Jewish jargon, engineering jargon, sailing jargon, and so forth.

The Word of God given to a specific people, born-from-above, contains many idioms characteristic of the teachings given to this group in God's scriptures. There are many of these in the scriptures. Be very cautious about idioms. Each word (Lemma) in NT Greek has only ONE meaning throughout the NT; and the root words of the OT in Hebrew have only ONE Meaning. There are no synonyms or multiple meanings in God's Holy Word. And who, then, would determine the proper and multiple meanings. It would be chaos as it has increasingly been since its first distribution among fallible man, yet, being Providentially preserved,

Not a small wonder that Christ said that if He left us, that it was expedient the Holy Spirit comes to the earth to do His works in mankind's hearts. And, also, His Providential preservation can be discerned by the consistency of one lemma one meaning paradigm throughout the Word, even including idioms, and making total coherence and better sense of meaning of all of scriptures, consistently preserved throughout the many centuries. God's Word remains Perfect Holy and Pure throughout.

Idioms are a specific and very peculiar and much used in both OT and NT. Whenever an idiom is used it may be used multiple times as one word and becomes clearly a peculiar use of the word in the cultural jargon of the scripture use. **And to make the idiom specific to conform to God's Perfect use of each word with specific purity and no synonyms, each single idiomatic meaning found in His Word is NOT a meaning used by any other lemma in His pure and perfect Word, nor is it a meaning of any other idiom.** When we say this, we are speaking of each specific unique one-of-a-kind meaning to each Greek lemma and idiom. We do not refer to all the disparate sloppy multiple meanings for each single Greek lemma or idiom found in ALL the false errant English translations made by fallible, but righteous earnest men, in the centuries past who never had the many helps provided by computer programing today to make consistency in the one word-one meaning paradigm of God's Pure (no synonyms) Holy (no mixture, no hidden implications) Perfect (monadic Truth) Word (Psa 12:6) .

There are many more idioms than given here. Perhaps, about 30 idioms among all the 5,624 Greek lemma (root words) in the NT. Illustrations of six specific idioms used, which help our understanding, are:

Example 1. Word idiom

logos In the beginning was the logos, and the *logos* was with God, and the *logos* was God. This logos is commonly called the "Word," the Spiritual communication as a composite, referring to the Lord Jesus Christ. So in our meaning of the term we say "Word" as a jargon for the Spiritual Communication to us, as Christians. But often in scripture the word "logos" is used in its idiomatic meaning of "word" to refer to more worldly items of communications also. And throughout the 326 instances in which logos is used either "Spiritual communication" or "Word" could be used. But it is used consistently each time used as a Christian cultural meaning idiom.

rhema But we have another word, *rhema*, which means a specific word, like cat, dog, mouse, etc. Both *rhema* and *logos* cannot be defined as "word." Different lemmas cannot have the same meaning. So we give rhema the common understanding and use by saying it means an "individual word," a single written or spoken word, as it simply and consistently is used in the scriptures. And we say, "Spiritual communication" is logos, but also give it the fully understood idiom as "Word" also, as used in scriptures. But a specific single word or words which compose sentences, is rhema, or "individual word."

Example 2. Boating in wind idiom

phero Peter said in 2 Pet 1:21, "Because the prophecy (propheteia-future telling) in past time was brought (phero, 64x, "to bring") not by the will of man, but definitely Holy men of God **being driven** (phero, pres pass. partic.) spake (aorist, act. indic.).

Sixty times *phero* is best translated as "**to bring.**" But in Acts 27:15,17 or Acts 2:2 and 2 Peter 1:21 we find that there is a nautical term in those days of sailing (a sailing jargon, an idiom), as being "**driven**" by the wind, or the wind "**drives**" us. This idiom is used when a wind or spirit is the force described. And the Holy Spirit came as "a sound from Heaven as of a **driving** mighty wind" (Acts 2:2). Also, we find two more places, "And when the ship was caught, and could not bear up into the wind, we let her **drive**.....Which when they had taken up, they used helps, undergirding the ship; and, fearing lest they should fall into the quicksands, strake sail, and so **were driven**" (Acts 27:15,17). Here used as a sailing jargon.

elauno This word means "to row" a boat. This word occurs 5 times in the NT, but in three of those instances, also uses the idiom for the wind "driven" boat. See: Mrk 6:48 and John 6:19 for "rowing;" then James 3:4 and 2 Peter 2:17 for wind present are being translated as the idiom "**driven.**" And finally, interestingly, Luke 8:29 says, "and was "**driven**" of the devil into the wilderness." Again, as a sailing jargon.

Example 3. Three day period idiom

In the NT Gospels there is much confusion about the repeated usage of "three days." For instance: Luke 12:21, "today, the third day since;" Mrk 8:31, "after 3 days;" Mrk 14:58, "within 3 days;" John 2:19, "in 3 days;" and Luke 24:21, "Today is the third day since these things be done.." This is extremely important, as all these references are in reference to how long Christ was in His grave.

This becomes even more confusing when our Lord said (as He always does) exactly what is intended. Concerning His length of time in the grave, He said: "after 3 days and 3 nights in the heart of the earth" as Jonah in the belly of the whale (Matt 12:40).

The answer lies in the way the Hebrew culture expressed itself in a very old idiom concerning 3 days. It seems that a 3 day period was customarily regarded among the Hebrews to deliberate or formally establish something. **Queen Esther**, Esther 4:16 requested, "fast ye for me, and neither eat nor drink 3 days, night or day; I also and my hand maids will fast likewise, and so will I go in unto the King, which is not according to the law. And if I perish, I perish." Then Esther 5:1 says, "Now it came to pass on the third day," that Esther put on her royal apparel, and stood in the inner court of the king's house..... " Also, in 2 Chron 10:3 says, And they sent and called him (Jeroboam). So Jeroboam and all Israel came and spake to **Rehoboam** saying; Thy father (Solomon) made our yoke grievous, now therefore ease thou somewhat the grievous servitude of thy father, and his heavy yoke that he put upon us, and we will serve thee, And he (Rehoboam) said unto them, Come again unto me after three days. And the people departed." Then Chron 10:12 says, "So Jeroboam and all the people came to Rehoboam on the third day, as the king bade, saying, come again on the third day."

Clearly, our Lord said, "after 3 days and 3 nights, and Queen Esther said "3 days, night and day;" and Rehoboam said "after 3 days." And these statements are spoken of as "within 3 days, in 3 days, today the third say since, the third day, and on the third day." So obviously, the Hebrew culture had an idiom in their culture which literally meant, "after 3 days and 3 nights." This means our Lord rose on Sunday, first day of the week (starting Sat. night after 6 PM) and He was crucified on Wednesday. Again, this is a specific Hebrew culture jargon.

This means our Lord was crucified on Wednesday, the "preparation day" (Nisan 14, the Passover day of Exodus) and the next day was high Sabbath of first day of the Feast of Unleavened Bread, referred to as "the Passover," And He arose early Sunday (starting a 6:00 PM Saturday, the Jewish 24 hr. day from 6 PM to 6 PM).

4. Preparation Day idiom Also, it should be noted, as above, the "Preparation day" (John 19:42; Matt 27:62; Mk 15:42; Lk 23:54; Jn 19:14, 31) when the lamb was slain became an idiom itself for the actual Passover day of Nisan 14 of Exodus 12. Since all males from every nation were to appear at the Passover on Nisan 14 and since the next day started the Feast of Unleavened Bread was such a great 7 day celebration in Jerusalem, most stayed over the Passover to attend the Feast, thus the Passover became called the "preparation day," and the Feast of Unleavened Bread became known as the Passover. The Passover

itself (Nisan 14 only, in Exodus 12), became "the preparation day" when the Lamb was killed in the Temple. Again, a specific Hebrew culture jargon by the time of Christ in the flesh on earth. The people simply said let's go to Jerusalem for the Passover, meaning the whole 8 day time.

5. The Passover idiom Luke 22:1 clearly says, *"Now the feast of unleavened bread drew nigh, which is called the Passover."* Mrk 14:1,12 and Matt 26:17 say the same, and gives better understanding of Acts 12:3-4, which also obviously applies by the word "pascha" to Nisan 14 which by idiomatic use came to be applied to the full 7 days of Feast of Unleavened Bread, while the actual Passover (Nisan 14) became "the preparation day."

Now let us look at Acts 12:3, 4: "And because he saw it pleased the Jews, he proceeded further to take Peter also. (Then were the days of unleavened bread.) And when he had apprehended him, he put him in prison, and delivered him to four quaternions of soldiers to keep him; intending after Easter (Hebr, "pascha") to bring him forth to the people."

Verse 3 shows that Peter was arrested during the days of unleavened bread (April 15-21). The Bible says: "Then were the days of unleavened bread." The Passover (Nisan, April 14th) had already come and gone. Many say Herod could not possibly have been referring to the Passover in his statement concerning "pascha" then (Nisan 14), so he was referring to Easter, celebrated by the pagan near the same time of year. But since the common idiom "Passover" of that day was for the total seven days (after Passover, now idiomatically called the "Preparation Day"), the Hebrew word "pascha" applies as used then, therefore, must be translated as Passover, the idiomatic use then.

Since all 29 occurrences of "pascha" in the NT are translated "Passover," it is blinded arrogance to say, after understanding the idiomatic use plainly repeatedly stated in the NT, to say that in Act 12:4 in this one instance refers to "Easter." Amazingly, the so-called Fundamentalists today, as being Greek scholars, who champion the Greek NT as the word of God, insist that Easter is the correct translation.

This is a good illustration of the deductive assertions of those who arrogantly insist that the KJV English translation is inerrant. Without thinking, they are insisting that any original pure perfect one Greek word in God's Perfect Pure Word can mean many totally different desperate things. They learned this in their multiple Seminaries and Bible School trainings. They love synonyms, because they support their god: ***"any local context defines the meaning of any word used in that context, thus multiple meanings are necessary for each word."*** This is according to the translators "Christian freedom," as the original 47 translators of the KJV insisted they had a right to exercise. So we now have the total insanity of all the very false modern translations, even worse.

So the total confusion and false doctrines originated and persist, saying, "the context defines the meaning of any word." So multiple synonyms are not only accepted but falsely worshipped as God's Pure Monadic Inerrant Word. This is total idiocy, justifying whatever anyone wishes to believe, and makes professional pastors and teachers (Nicolaitans) supposedly necessary in our play churches today. Comprehend the evil society and churches we have today because of their perverted use of our Holy Bible through the centuries. So we are now in a totally apostate last church age, Laodicean, of the latter days (Rev 3).

And using the pure Word "pascha" of the Lord's Passover of His broken body and shed blood for us on Calvary to also mean the same as the pagan Semiramis or Artemis or Astarte giving

birth to Tummuz, whom she later even marries, celebrated with bunny rabbits and eggs for sexual fertility is blasphemy of our Lord of the worst kind. And these are “your” so-called Bible scholars today.

Without understanding these idioms used in the New Testament much confusion and many false teachings arise.

6. Honor idiom But we must never go beyond this and freely say a second “idiomatic” meaning must *obviously* be given to any word of scripture simply because it would better fit our personal doctrinal preferences, when other doctrinal teachings directly contradict.

An illustration of this, for instance, would be in 1 Tim 5:17, which says, “Let the elders that rule well be counted worthy of double honor, especially they who labor in the word and doctrine.” Those, who teach that a Nicolaitan ruling upper class of clergy deserve money (or keep) from God’s Assembly, say that here “honor” means a double “honorarium”—pay them double.

[[[[When 1 Peter 5:1-3, **Peter commanded** (imperative) ”the elders [[a group word used in Greek scriptures of overseers, shepherds, or elders, but translated as Bishops, pastors, or elders by mandate of King James to preserve the Anglican hierarchy of clergy, and so maintained in all succeeding translations]] feed the flock of God, taking the oversight, **not for filthy lucre (money), neither being lords over God’s heritage,** but being examples.” And Paul devotes the entire 2 Thess., chapter 3, starting verse 6 saying, that **Paul commands** (imperative) the brethren in Lord Jesus Name that they withdraw themselves from **every brother that does not walk after the tradition** received from him, that he (Paul) himself and of he Apostles and workers with them **worked** to neither take bread from anyone for nothing nor to owe anyone anything in order to be an example, and that if anyone does not support his family by **working**, he is worse than an infidel. Paul’s word for himself “worked or wrought (as in KJV)” is here the same word for “anyone working.” See the article, **Nicolaitanism**, under New Testament Greek, in Doffun.com for complete details of scripture, and application, on this important subject.]]]]

Therefore, the word for “honor” in 1 Tim 5:17, Strong’s 5092, used 43x, means “honor,” NOT an honorarium or money. But in nine of those 43 times it is used in idiom of “price,” But “price” cannot be applied as honorarium because this would contradict plain scripture doctrinal “commandments” by Peter and Paul and by multiple examples and commandments of our Lord Jesus in His teachings (see Doffun.com, “Nicolaitanism.”), thereby causing Paul to refer to “the tradition received from him.”

“Therefore, brethren, stand fast, and **hold the traditions** which ye have been taught, whether by word, or our epistle” (2 Thess 2:15).

After about 20 years from our Lord’s Crucifixion, following His teachings and examples to the church, was spoken of by the Apostles as their traditions.

UNDERSTANDING IDIOMS IN SCRIPTURE IS VERY IMPORTANT !!

Parables

Parable, is an earthly story with instructional Heavenly meaning, concerning ONE subject’s related concepts and conclusions. Parables are scripture stories using all the literal words of scripture to describe the *one subject* of the parable. These are the pure instructional

single words spoken by Christ, used throughout scripture by Holy Spirit. The words are of same meaning everywhere.

Therefore, parables are interpreted as any other scripture by:

1. Find all the scripture single words within the parable, harmonize them to eliminate contradictions, then infer the correct meaning or meanings, **BUT relating to the one subject's related concepts and conclusions.** Ask what are the concepts and conclusions relating to the one subject? Does it contradict any of your doctrines.
2. The one subject's related concepts and conclusions, cannot contradict other scripture interpretations (or doctrines) or we have accepted an assertion (lie) somewhere. **If the conclusion relating to the one subject contradicts a doctrine, then the doctrine must be wrong or the concepts to the one subject or the one subject itself of the parable is wrong! NO CONTRADICTIONS.**

Doctrine

Doctrine, a direct teaching of scripture (by Holy spirit, through Christ or Apostles) given on any subject. Doctrines (teachings) can only be derived from direct instructional, teaching, or prophetic scriptures. The teachings (doctrines) can only be understood by the enlightenment of the indwelling Holy Spirit in the those saved. It is by adhering to the doctrines that the born-from-above person is being saved ultimately to Heaven (I Tim 4:16)

DOCTRINES **CANNOT** BE DERIVED FROM:

- **Figures of speech** as metaphors, similes, allegories. (over 200 types of figures of speech). [[As explained above, idioms, an exception, are used in deriving doctrines.]]
- **Parables**, parables are instructional teachings which are illustrative, consistent, and supportive of proper doctrines which can only be derived from direct teachings of Christ or the Apostles, not parables. However, the **one subject** for **each meaning** conclusion must be emphasized. Other aspects of any parable cannot be used to relate to any doctrine. For instances of bad uses of parables, in p. of talents means to put money in a bank to draw interest, or P. of virgins means we should always have light available, or p. of vine means need to lift the vine up off ground, or the wide and narrow way means message is not understood, or the lost and dead prodigal son was always acceptable to his father, and so forth.
- **Narratives**; ie, Naaman washed in Jordon to cure leprosy, we should do the same. All the narratives in the book of Acts, except explicit doctrinal proclamations; for instance, Gentiles first spoke in tongues when born again, so we should also; or baptism of Holy spirit is accompanied by tongues because Christ said you will "be baptized with the Holy Spirit not many days hence" (Acts1:5) and they all spoke in tongues. This is a widely used means of deriving false assertions and doctrines.

GOD HAS PROVIDED AN INTERNAL GUIDE TO SCRIPTURAL TRUTH

Throughout this book we have repeatedly pointed out that Truth is NOT found through **deductive reasoning**, and this error originates and leads to erroneous excesses through further deductive reasoning. The multitudes who are snared by deductive thinking concerning concepts cannot possibly understand the two very different forms of thought and violently deny the implications of them, denying that they are deductive thinkers when it is as apparent as eyesight to those who do **inductive thinking**. Why is this?

God Provided an Instinctual Sensitivity to Contradictions in Our Thinking.

We have seen in Chapter 1-3 that God turned mankind from the Garden in Genesis 3 with (1) the retained "right-of-choice" between alternatives and (2) the instinctual knowledge (however, darkened) of God's moral Attributes of Love, Truth, Holiness, and Wisdom. Thereby, God can justly say man is without excuse (Rom 1:20).

Therefore, even a little child has a sense of "ought." "That is not right, it ought to be this way," or "That is not fair." Even a child has a sense of moral "right" or "wrong." Then depending upon how they are raised by assertive authority figures they may adapt to believe totally different senses by being made to memorize and behave according to thinking that their original "ought" were actually wrong, and that the original wrong is now actually right. In summary, their original consciences are now completely changed. Instead of innocent children, they are now headhunters, maliciously evil torturers, liars, thieves, whoremongers, and most all Church pastors or Bible teachers.

This is why in the **Section, Hermeneutics, concerning Exclusive Truth**, we described how the scriptures totally teach against going by our consciences, which are simply reflections of our souls experiences, training, and memorization. The Holy Spirit in regeneration combines with our spirit, not our conscience which is an aspect of our soul's (mind, emotions, and will) experiences. People who teach that the Holy Spirit guides through our consciences to lead us are totally mislead and contradict the scriptures concerning this.

Cognitive Dissonance May be the Key to Help People Understand this Snare of the Devil in Thinking

Cognitive dissonance is an uncomfortable feeling caused by holding two contradictory ideas (concepts) simultaneously. God makes this possible because of giving us (1) "right-of-choice" and (2) instinctive understanding of "good" and "bad," and (3) that "contradiction" is a bad because God's Created method for man's thinking is conformed to "Logic, which disallows contradictions. This disallowing of contradiction by giving all men an instinctive "logical" thinking process of "inductive thinking" concerning concepts, makes man empowered to understand a God of pure TRUTH, without contradictions.

A powerful cause of cognitive dissonance is an idea in conflict with a fundamental element of this instinctual sense of "ought" and the instinctual sense of the "logical." "These concepts ought to have no contradiction" or "This action seems right or wrong." The

anxiety that comes with these sensations of "ought" or "contradiction" are opposed to what man's instinct of TRUTH and HOLINESS of his Creator in the beginning (Garden).

This sensation of contradiction motivates a person to clear the anxiety by one of two alternatives. The person can try to eliminate or resolve any contradiction of concepts or behaviors whether by action of self or others.

FIRST IN GOD'S KINGDOM, In properly dealing with the original sensing (anxiety) of contradiction of concepts in God's pure Word, this resolution necessitates looking first at all the details (facts. with every word having only one single meaning in all contexts used) in all the relating concepts to find the one interpretation (conclusion) that would eliminate (replace) any starting concept causing contradictions and anxiety. This is called the **inductive reasoning** method. Facts >> Conclusion Also, called scientific thinking. This is especially possible in God's word, since God's individual words (*rhema*) of nouns and verbs especially, and all idioms of them, have no meaning in any other word, these all have ONLY one meaning regardless of the contexts in which the word is used throughout the Greek text.

It is noted that this teaching is exactly opposite to what every Bible School and Cemetery in the world teaches. They say sentences (local contexts) define words in the scriptures, just like all words in the satan's worldly dictionaries of worldly writings.

They are insisting that any original pure perfect one Greek word in God's Perfect Pure Word can mean many totally different desperate things. They learned this in their multiple Seminaries and Bible School trainings. They love synonyms, because they support their god: "*any local context defines the meaning of any word used in that context, thus multiple meanings are necessary for each word.*" This is according to the translators "Christian freedom," as the original 47 translators of the KJV insisted they had a right to exercise. So we now have the total insanity of all the very false modern translations, even worse. And any child would think that the meaning of individual words would define the context. God's Kingdom thinks just the opposite of the satan's kingdom.

Inductive reasoning is the way a little child thinks and learns originally, and is the way we are supposed to learn the scriptures, each word with one meaning and each together compose sentences; word meaning upon word meaning, here a little, there a little, here a concept, there a concept; comparing pure, single meaning scripture words, and then concepts upon such words, till we come to an understanding of what the entire scriptures teach (Isa 28:10-13, 1 Cor 2:13-14, Ps 12:6, and Prov 30:5). This takes years of devoted study and proper teaching.

SECOND IN SATAN'S KINGDOM, we have the sophisticated world (the satan) taught subject, natural humans, for self-concerning reasons (like esteem of men, good grades, or money, etc) may choose rationalizations to explain away the original sensing (anxiety) of contradiction of concepts.

Two Illustrations of two different people will now be given:

- 1. First Person** If he starts participating **(A)** in initially hesitating sinning (accepting) which will give him some starting contradictions, **(B)** he will soon gain clarity and freedom from anxiety in his continuing in sinning (deceived), and he will rationalize that it is OK behavior, and practiced

repeatedly will soon absolutely think sinning is great and quite rational. Why not? Eventually, **(C)** he will further think (now **blinded**) that the piously religious are stupid bigots.

2. Second Person If he starts in a Bible School or Seminary, he will be taught how to rationalize the original morally contradicting concepts that **(A)** he will initially have some angst over, but rationalize (**accepting**) as taught. But if he really desires to master in this pursuit of his studies, **(B)** he soon gains clarity and freedom in his thinking (**deceived**) to accept completely the assertions by his teachers to be proper doctrines (teachings), given him with further supporting rationalizations of other scriptures. **Soon, as the first person mired in sinning, he will also sense no contradiction at all by his behavior; both people thinking they are quite right.** If he continually repeats this memorization process with all doctrines given him and to so rationalize them, he may graduate summa cum laude, and become a famous preacher of very false doctrines, yet totally blinded to understand anything else in the future.

In actuality, the habitual sinner and the habitual Bible School or Seminary doctorate learned to think exactly the same way by exactly the same process. Both learned by the world of satan's method of thinking.

It is always Kingdom of God vs. kingdom of satan. Each kingdom is exactly the opposite in ALL things. The members of each kingdom even think exactly the opposite to each other.

But as in our two illustrations, whether becoming a dedicated sinner or a dedicated minister of God, both can learn the way of satan's kingdom and be totally blinded the rest of their lives in either sinning or false doctrines. And neither of these are acceptable in God's Kingdom.

They have both learned the way of the world of satan thinking, deductive thinking. This is reasoning from an asserted general conclusion, as "Ye shall not surely die," if you disobey God, (the first initially contradictory anxiety producing concept) but initially accepted anyway and then rationalizing the details (false rationalizations) to support the contradicting concepts with further false rationalizations, and on and on. (C) The Seminary graduate is just as happy and proud of himself as the sophisticated sinner became, each with the differing piously religious and stupid bigots about him (now he is **blinded**).

And most significantly, each of our two examples, after becoming **blinded** in the third stage **(C)** of succumbing to sin (sinning or false doctrine), will tell you that at some point as a sudden or fast change their whole way of seeing the world changed. Some might even say their actual personalities seemed to change.

There is only one final barrier to Truth in concepts; that is, to think you already have it (called blindness). And this is what following Aristotle's Form of Syllogism of deductive thinking does to a person. Without inductive thinking a person can never be constantly learning and growing in knowledge of God or any thinking about concepts. This is explained in full detail

in Appendix E of this Book, and in Doffun.com, Section on Hermeneutics, in extensive detail.

The satan way is called going from the starting asserted general conclusion (contradiction concept) to the details (rationalizations to support the first starting asserted contradiction accepted). This is called the **deductive reasoning** method. Asserted starting Conclusion >> rationalized Facts to support beginning assertion. **This is obviously the satan's preferred way for us to reason.** It is entirely against God's instinctual provisions to us (choice, ought, inductive thinking of logic for non-contradictory absolute Truth) and His teachings to His born-from-above in scripture in only accepting exclusive non-contradictory Truth (Himself).

In brief, **satan's method and gate to affect us** is whenever man becomes **passive mentally** in just listening and doing, memorizing whatever others say or write or do, and eventually whole habitual participation in total alliance (blinded) with them. **God's method and protection of us**, being exactly the opposite of satan's, is for us to be **whole heartedly mentally focused on God's direction in mental alertness** and obedient attention to only His Will and Truth in concentration on scriptures with the indwelling Holy Spirit Mind of Christ to prayerfully access our Heavenly Father's direction, with obedience of faith, every single moment.

When one thinks about it, this deductive method of satan and his world is exactly what we do with all our children and we all went through ourselves, in growing up in this satan's world. Go to school, simply memorize everything given to you whether you think there are contradictions or not and memorize the detailed reasons that the assertions are true. The person that memorizes the most assertions (whether Truth or not) gets the best grades. They are all trained in **deductive thinking**. Another term is brainwashed.

HOWEVER, scientists learned from Sir Francis Bacon (1561-1626 AD), who loathed Aristotelian philosophy (deductive thinking, a formal Syllogism Form of reasoning), and first proposed inductive thinking in concepts, which came to be called the "scientific method." Bacon believed that men could not properly arrive at truth by this deductive method, described in the earliest formal study of thinking (Logic, with Formal syllogism of deductive thinking only), started by the Greek philosopher Aristotle (384-322 BC). So Bacon first formalized the inductive method of reasoning for all discoveries of modern science concerning the Truth concerning "concepts" in our world. After this difference in thinking concerning concepts, we entered the age of so-called enlightenment and progress out of the DARK AGES, in all matters concerning mental handling of concepts for TRUTH, reality.

Our Lord and His Apostles and the scriptures teach inductive thinking which is instinctually given to God's little innocent children by birth, but when they start going to satan's world education systems, they quickly become adept at satan's deductive thinking. It is somewhat of a pun that adults "must be born-again."

In the ways of the world (deductive thinking), we are either brainwashed by God related moral principles as in this country's education system (quite fortunately by our Christian founders) for the first 250 years, or brainwashed by godless Marxist Socialists (quite unfortunately) as in this country's schools for the last 80-90 years, till we no longer exist on moral Christian principles as originally founded. Epically, our President, Obama, stated before the United Nations in 2009 that we are NOT a Christian nation.

How did this happen? It's how we use our brains (soul = mind, emotions, will) that God gave us, for which we are responsible. God provided for us to have minds and instincts to think properly (inductively for concepts) if we would just not be so passively (A) accepting, (B) then deceived, and (C) then blinded, darkened, changed by satan, **unable to comprehend TRUTH thereafter, by his satanic worldly deductive thinking.**

All the world, including the USA, is now snared, taken captive, by the deductive thinking of satan and his demons, as described above, to pagan and satanic ways in all aspects of life. A paradigm (Greek, "pattern") shift has occurred world-wide.

Paradigm (Pattern) Shift

In the way of thinking, something new, has been socially deliberately planned to exclusively culturally shift all world-wide societies to self-serving internalized deductive thinking of satan, as described above. In the past 100 years in the USA, we have had a concerted effort in institutions of learning and eventually in the habitual thinking within all religious groups of directly teaching a pattern shift away from emphasis on **content** of "right" or True information and for absolute and permanent reality, as taught in the Bible, to a New Paradigm (Pattern) of mental focus.

"The Paradigm Shift..... a term introduced by Thomas Kuhn, a science historian and philosopher, in his landmark 1962 book, *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Kuhn's ideas are enormously helpful, not only because they help us understand how a new perspective reemerges but also how and why such new views are invariably resisted for a time." [page 26]

"The Paradigm Shift. New perspectives give birth to new historic ages.....the Aquarian Conspiracy is a different kind of revolution, with different revolutionaries. It looks to the turnabout in the consciousness of a critical number of individuals, enough to bring about a renewal of society...." [page 26]

"A paradigm is a framework of thought (from the Greek paradigm, "pattern")... a scheme for understanding and explaining certain aspects of reality.... A paradigm shift is a distinctly new way of thinking" [page 26]

"Given the superior power and scope of the new idea, we might expect it to prevail rather quickly, but that almost never happens. The problem is that you can't embrace the new paradigm unless you let go of the old. You can't be half, hearted making the change bit by bit. 'Like the gestalt switch,' Kuhn said, 'it must occur all at once.' The new paradigm is not 'figured out' but suddenly seen." [page 27] (Quoting from *The Aquarian Conspiracy* by Marilyn Ferguson, Los Angeles:J.P. Tarcher, 1980)

"Broader than reform, deeper than revolution, thisconspiracy for a new human agenda has triggered the most rapid cultural realignment in history." The great shuddering, irrevocable world -wide shift overtaking us is a new political, religious, economic, and philosophical system. "The Aquarian Conspirators range across all levels of income and education, from the humblest to the highest. There are schoolteachers and office workers, famous scientists, government officials and lawmakers, artists and millionaires, taxi drivers and celebrities, leaders in medicine, education, law, psychology....They are in corporations, universities and hospitals, ... in state and federal agencies, on city councils and the White House staff.... in virtually all arenas of policy-making in the country. ..." [pages 23-24]

Within this understanding, we can see that a powerful network of educators over the past 100 years in this country, are teaching, quite indirectly, self-centered human nature as the only standard of right and absolute for each individual. This is called "deductive

thinking" of making self preference assertions, and making all factors conform, or they are rejected. Each person is a god himself, making his own feelings and preferences his own absolutes. And all totally justified in his own mind by deductive thinking, the satan's form of thinking for his kingdom.

" For God doth know that in the day ye eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil" (Gen 3:5).....satan's second lie in the Garden, in his plans laid out from the beginning.

They have broken away from certain key elements of Western thought (choice, ought, inductive thinking of logic for non-contradictory absolute Truth), and they have broken continuity with history (rewriting history in education).... This network is the so-called Aquarian Conspiracy of this New Age. It is being implemented by the present day Emergent Church (Eastern mysticisms) in religion and satanic Marxist Socialism in all governments and legal systems for achieving worldwide unity of all peoples in worldwide governments, economics, and religion.

However, God, from the beginning (Garden), provided instinctual means to natural man to learn and think and comprehend Him, as:

(1) **scriptural safeguards,**

(2) **instinctual gifts to every natural child, as,**

A. right-of-choice,

B. a sense of "ought" of right from wrong,

C. a child's instinctive inductive thinking for non-contradictory absolute Truth in all things;

But NOW mankind is completely and fully turning from His grace provided to them and may be without excuse, totally and irrevocably.

Rom 1:20-23

For the invisible things of Him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even His eternal power and Godhead; so that **they are without excuse:**

Because that, when they knew God, they glorified Him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and **their foolish heart was darkened.**

Professing themselves to be wise, **they became fools,.....**

Selah "He that is having ears to hear, let him hear."

"Verily I say unto you, Whosoever shall not receive the kingdom of God as a little child shall in no wise enter therein."

**Jesus Christ of Bethlehem, Our Creator,
Luke 18:17**

"Our Father, may this book be to Thy Glory for Eternity. Greatly bless and fill with Thy Holy Spirit those who read the words of this book, and save through Thy Holy Spirit enlightenment those whom You do not now indwell. May You be pleased with Your Son in us." Amen